

II. List of tables

Table 2.1:	
	Classification of values of an environmental good 15
Table 3.1:	
	Two two-component distinctions of SDR 65
Table 3.2:	
	Four dimensions of cultural value orientation (Hofstede 1984a). 90
Table 3.3:	
	Typology of interview situations in the rational choice model..... 102
Table 3.4:	
	Different types of anonymity.....114
Table 4.1:	
	Studies that compare WTP estimates across different survey modes. ...126
Table 4.2:	
	Coding of the variables of the three-factor model of SDR. 136
Table 5.1:	
	Relative response frequency for each item.....164
Table 5.2:	
	Different strategies of approval seeking. 167
Table 5.3:	
	Results of a principal component factor analysis of the BIDR.....171
Table 5.4:	
	Stylized results of 19 in-depth interviews for the assessment of content validity of the IM subscale of the BIDR. 173
Table 5.5:	
	Stylized results of 19 in-depth interviews for the assessment of content validity of the IM subscale of the BIDR. 174
Table 5.6:	
	OLS regression of the BIDR score.176
Table 5.7:	
	Distribution of the variables PUBLIC and EXPUB.....180

Table 5.8:
 Results of the trait desirability question..... 183

Table 5.9:
 Correlations between the variables of the three-factor model of SDR. 185

Table 5.10:
 Sample mean values for certain demographic variables..... 187

Table 5.11:
 Determinants of WTP, output of probit regression model.190

Table 5.12:
 Probit model of positive WTP, including split samples 1-10 197

Table 5.13:
 Probit model of positive WTP, including split samples 1-9.....199

Table 5.14:
 Regression model of WTP, including split samples 1-10..... 202

Table 5.15:
 Regression model of WTP, including split samples 1-9. 204

Table 5.16:
 Estimation results including the enhancement component only..... 206

Table 5.17:
 Estimation results including the denial component only 207

Table 5.18:
 Direct comparison of the relative strength of enhancement and
 denial components of SDR 208